As a supplement to the contents of JCIA Annual Report 2021, this pamphlet introduces various data and initiatives relating to the activities of JCIA. Please read it together with JCIA Annual Report 2021.
Environmental Protection (Prevention of Global Warming)

CO₂ Emissions Index

CO₂ emissions have been decreasing with each passing year since the “Commitment to a Low Carbon Society” activities began in FY 2013, with emissions dropping by 13.7 million metric tons (19.9%) last fiscal year compared to the reference year of FY 2005.

In FY 2018, JCIA announced a new target of reducing the absolute quantity of CO₂ emissions before FY 2030, by 6.79 million metric tons compared to FY 2013.

During FY 2020, due to the stagnation of economic activities caused by COVID-19, we reduced CO₂ emissions by an additional 3.68 million tons from FY 2019, which makes for a total reduction of 8.74 million tons, and we have achieved our 2030 target ahead of schedule.

Emissions of CO₂ and Three Alternatives to Freon

When the reduction of CO₂ emissions and the reduction of emissions in the manufacture of three alternatives to Freon (PFC₆, SF₆, NF₃) are combined, emissions in 2020 were down 18% from the base years (=100%).

*1 Base years: The base year for CO₂ emissions is FY1990; the base year for estimated emissions associated with manufacturing of PFCs and others is 1995 (calendar year).
*2 CO₂e (CO₂ equivalent): Corresponding value of CO₂ emissions
**Environmental Protection (Industrial Waste Reduction)**

**Percentage of companies that achieved the FY2020 target for the final disposal volume reduction rate**

- Target achieved (final disposal reduction rate of 70% or more)
- Target not achieved (final disposal reduction rate of 40% or more, but less than 70%)
- Target not achieved (final disposal reduction rate of less than 40 percent)

![Percentage of companies that achieved the FY2020 target for the final disposal volume reduction rate](image)

\*1 Final disposal amount reduction rate (vs. FY 2000):
\[
\text{FY 2000 final disposal amount} - \text{FY 2020 final disposal amount} \div \text{FY 2000 final disposal amount} \geq 70\%
\]

**Industrial Waste Volume and Effective Resource Utilization Ratio**

- Industrial waste volume
- Effective resource utilization ratio

![Industrial Waste Volume and Effective Resource Utilization Ratio](image)

\*2 Effective utilization rate (including heat recovery):

\[
\frac{\text{Effective use of resources in the same FY}}{\text{Amount of industrial waste}} \geq 65\%
\]

**Final Landfill Disposal Volume**

- Final landfill disposal volume
- Ratio to FY 2000

![Final Landfill Disposal Volume](image)

**Status of achievement of FY2020 target for final disposal volume reduction rate**

Starting from FY 2016, we have set a new target in accordance with the Keidanren Voluntary Action Plan for Establishing a Sound Material-Cycle Society (reducing FY 2020’s final landfill disposal volume by about 70% from the volume in FY 2000) and are currently undertaking a process to achieve this target. The percentage of companies that achieved the volume reduction target of the final disposal**\*1 in FY 2020, the final fiscal year of the target, was 60%.

**Industrial Waste Volume and Effective Resource Utilization Ratio**

Industrial waste volume in FY 2020 was 3,759 million metric tons, down 39% from the level in the base year of FY 2000. We are also making positive efforts to encourage sorting and reuse. In addition, the effective utilization rate of resources including heat recovery, which had been 43% in FY 2000, was improved to 71% in FY 2020 by not only strengthening recycling with thorough sorting of the materials but also aggressively switching from simple incineration to heat recovery for items that are difficult to recycle. As a result, we have achieved a level that greatly exceeds the chemical industry’s specific target of “increasing the ratio to 65% or more by FY 2020”*2 in the Keidanren Voluntary Action Plan for Establishing a Sound Material-Cycle Society that started in 2016.

**Final Landfill Disposal Volume**

The final disposal of FY 2020 was 147,000 tons, which is an approx. 50,000-ton decrease from FY 2019, which ended in a 73% reduction from the base year FY 2000. In 2019, the domestic disposal volume temporarily increased due to import restrictions on waste plastics enforced in Asian countries, but returned to a declining trend in 2020. Not only did we achieve the target for the entire industry, namely “the 70% reduction (compared to FY 2000) by FY 2020”*3 per the Keidanren Voluntary Action Plan for Establishing a Sound Material-Cycle Society, but the reduction also contributed to reduce waste incineration volume. Furthermore, as well as reducing the final landfill disposal volume, member companies are strengthening their traceability concerning proper disposal of waste, through confirming the issuance, recovery and verification of industrial waste manifests, and the regular inspection of final disposal sites at contractors.
Prevention of Atmospheric Pollution and Water Pollution

Chemical industrial companies in Japan have significantly reduced their emissions of air and water pollutants compared to around 2000. In recent years, the rate of emissions decrease has become smaller, but members companies comply both with regulatory standards and agreements with municipalities. They also set their own voluntary management criteria, which are more rigorous than government standards, to intensify their ongoing efforts to reduce emissions.

NOx Emissions

SOx Emissions

Dust Emissions

COD Emissions

Total Nitrogen Emissions

Total Phosphorous Emissions

(*) Emission intensity: Emissions per ¥1 million sales. The figures in the bars indicate the numbers of companies that submitted data.)
Environmental Protection (Reduction of Chemical Emissions)

Emissions of PRTR*1 Substances
The emissions of PRTR designated substances in FY 2020 was 7,700 metric tons, a reduction of approximately 83% compared to FY 2000. These have been decreasing year by year since FY 2013 and we have achieved our voluntary target*1 for fiscal 2020. The breakdown of emissions is as follows: 92% into the atmosphere, 8% into water, and less than 0.1% into soil.

*1 PRTR (Pollutant Release and Transfer Register): The PRTR system is designed to identify, collect and disseminate data on the amounts and sources of a variety of toxic chemicals released to the environment or transferred outside of facilities in the form of waste.

PRTR Law: Act on Confirmation, etc. of Release Amounts of Specific Chemical Substances in the Environment and Promotion of Improvements to the Management Thereof

Emissions of Voluntary Surveyed Substances
JCIA has independently established voluntary survey substances*2 and is working to further reduce the emission of chemical substances. There were 13,800 metric tons of substance emissions surveyed by JCIA voluntarily*2, achieving a 75% reduction compared to FY 2000. We have continued to reduce the amount since FY 2013 and have achieved our voluntary target for FY 2020*3. The breakdown of the emission quantities was 92% for emissions into the air and 8% for emissions into water areas. No emissions into the soil were reported.

(1) Change in the number of substances voluntarily surveyed by JCIA:
From FY 2000 to 2009: 126 substances
From FY 2010 to 2012: 106 substances
From FY 2013 to the current: 90 substances

VOC*2 Emissions
Member companies are making tremendous efforts to install equipment and improve the processes for controlling emissions of VOCs. The VOC emissions in FY 2020 amounted to 19,800 metric tons, a 78% reduction compared to FY 2000 and a 42% reduction compared to FY 2010, continuing to achieve significant reductions and achieving the FY 2020 voluntary target*4.

*2 VOC (volatile organic compound): VOC is a collective term for a wide variety of volatile organic compounds that turn into gas and enter the atmosphere, including toluene, xylene and ethyl acetate.

*3 With regard to the voluntary surveyed substances in addition to the regulatory designated substances, JCIA has set the voluntary target for FY 2020 of “reducing emissions of PRTR substances and VOCs by 50% compared to FY 2000, and a 42% reduction compared to FY 2010, and keeping them from worsening from FY 2010 and later. As for highly toxic substances, reduction efforts should be continued individually.”

Environmental Protection (Environmental Investment)

Investment in Environmental Measures
In FY 2020, the sum of investments in the installation and maintenance of environment-friendly equipment, such as energy saving and CO2 reduction equipment, and investments in the development of environment-friendly products and technologies amounted to ¥68.3 billion. This represents a ratio of investment to sales of 0.40%. While it is estimated that the decrease in investment amount was due to the fact that many construction projects were forced to be canceled or postponed due to the spread of COVID-19, the ratio of investment to sales remains at roughly the same level every year. The planned investments in environmental protection measures by member companies have been steadily improving their environmental performance.
Process Safety and Disaster Prevention (Efforts to Prevent Plant Accidents)

Accident Occurrences
In FY 2020, the total number of accidents at plants (122) and the number of accidents at plants per company (1.58) significantly increased compared to FY 2019. In particular, the number of explosions and fire accidents has been on the rise since FY2018, which is thought to be due to the aging of equipment.

Investment in Safety, Security, and Disaster-Prevention Measures
The investment in safety and disaster-preventive maintenance for FY 2020 was 128.9 billion yen (15% decrease compared with FY 2019), with the ratio of investment to sales being 0.76% (0.04% decrease compared with FY 2019), both of which decreased year on year. It is estimated that some plans were unavoidably postponed due to the spread of COVID-19 infection in the background of the decrease in investment for countermeasures despite the increasing number of disasters.

In FY 2020, the total number of accidents at plants (122) and the number of accidents at plants per company (1.58) significantly increased compared to FY 2019. In particular, the number of explosions and fire accidents has been on the rise since FY2018, which is thought to be due to the aging of equipment.

Investment in Safety, Security, and Disaster-Prevention Measures

In 2020, the lost time injury rate for member companies and their subcontractors was lower than both the manufacturing industry as a whole and the chemical industry as a whole, although the figure is hovering at around the same level.

Occurrence of Occupational Accidents

In 2020, two fatal accidents occurred among member companies, and another two fatal accidents among their subcontractors. As a result, the severity rate was significantly higher than both the manufacturing industry as a whole and the chemical industry as a whole, which is considerably worse than 2019 when there were no fatal accidents.

Industrial Health and Safety

Lost Time Injury Severity Rate* Trends

Overall Severity Rates

In 2020, two fatal accidents occurred among member companies, and another two fatal accidents among their subcontractors. As a result, the severity rate was significantly higher than both the manufacturing industry as a whole and the chemical industry as a whole, which is considerably worse than 2019 when there were no fatal accidents.

Number of Fatalities from Occupational Accidents

Regarding the number of fatalities in 2020, there were two deaths among member companies, and another two deaths among their subcontractors. In addition, a fatal accident (1 case) occurred at a member company that did not report the statistics of subcontractors.
4 Social (Regional) Dialogue

Implementation of Regional Dialogue Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas of implementation in FY 2020</th>
<th>Areas of implementation in FY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Yamaguchi, Hyogo, Aichi, Chiba (All were document-based meetings.)</td>
<td>Western Yamaguchi, Iwakuni &amp; Otake, Sakai &amp; Senboku, Kawasaki</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Members’ Self-Assessment

Details of Self-Assessment Scores (Average scores for all member companies based on a five-level assessment system)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>EP</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>OSH</th>
<th>DS</th>
<th>CPS</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessed item</td>
<td>Important items</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of striking environmental aspects, identification of dangerous and harmful factors, etc.</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal and other requirements</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and training</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation and document management</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation management</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness to emergency situations</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection and monitoring</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation and preventive measures</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection of information and management of records</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions by management</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Overall assessment)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 Responsible Care Verification

Companies Undergoing a Responsible Care (RC) Verification

In FY 2020, 9 companies underwent an RC verification (all 9 for verification of reports and none for verification of actions). The total number of companies that have undergone an RC verification is 237 (192 for verification of reports and 45 for verification of actions).


Please refer to the publications posted on the JCIA website regarding other information such as the aggregate results on the questionnaire for member companies.
As a supplement to the contents of JCIA Annual Report 2021, this pamphlet introduces various data and initiatives relating to the activities of JCIA. Please read it together with JCIA Annual Report 2021.

Access Information
Kayabacho St. (Tokyo Metro Hibiya Line, Tozai Line)
Approximately 3 minutes on foot from Exit 1 or Exit 3
Hatchobori St. (JR Keiyo Line)
Approximately 8 minutes on foot from Exit B1

Contact
General Affairs Department
TEL 03-3297-2550
FAX 03-3297-2610

Public Relations Department
TEL 03-3297-2615

International Affairs Department
TEL 03-3297-2576
FAX 03-3297-2612

Public Relations Department
TEL 03-3297-2555
FAX 03-3297-2615

Department of Business/Economic Information
TEL 03-3297-2559
FAX 03-3297-2606

Labor Department
TEL 03-3297-2563
FAX 03-3297-2566

Technical Affairs Department
TEL 03-3297-2578
FAX 03-3297-2606

Environment and Safety Department
TEL 03-3297-2568
FAX 03-3297-2612

Chemicals Management Department
TEL 03-3297-2602
FAX 03-3297-2604

Responsible Care Department
TEL 03-3297-2583
FAX 03-3297-2615

Chemical Products PL Consulting Center
TEL 03-3297-2555
FAX 03-3297-2615

Dream Chemistry 21 Committee
TEL 03-3297-2555
FAX 03-3297-2615

Japan Chemical Industry Association
7F Sumitomo Fudosan Rokko Building, 1-4-1 Shinkawa, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0033
TEL 03 3297 2555  FAX 03 3297 2615

https://www.nikkakyo.org/